

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X		
EDDIE ACEVEDO, individually and on behalf	:	<b><u>COMPLAINT</u></b>
of all other similarly situated persons,	:	
	:	<b>FLSA COLLECTIVE</b>
	:	<b>ACTION AND RULE 23</b>
Plaintiffs,	:	<b>CLASS ACTION</b>
	:	
	:	
CKA MANAGEMENT, LLC.	:	
	:	
	:	
Defendant,	:	
-----X		

Plaintiff EDDIE ACEVEDO, by and through his attorneys, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated, alleges, upon personal knowledge as to himself and his own acts, and upon information and belief as to all other matters, as follows:

**PRELIMINARY STATEMENT**

1. Plaintiff brings this action, on behalf of himself and other employees similarly situated, to remedy violations of the Fair Labor Standards Act, as amended, 29 U.S.C. § 201 et seq. ("FLSA"). Plaintiff seeks, for himself and similarly situated employees, unpaid overtime, liquidated damages, reasonable attorneys' fees and costs, and all other appropriate legal and equitable relief, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §§ 216(b) and 217, and other applicable federal law.

2. Plaintiff also bring this action, on behalf of himself and other employees similarly situated, to remedy violations of the New York State Labor Law, including N.Y. Lab. L. §§ 190 et seq., §§ 650 et seq. ("NYLL"), and 12 NYCRR § 142-2.2. Plaintiff seeks, for himself and all other similarly situated employees, unpaid wages, unpaid overtime, statutory damages, interest, reasonable attorneys' fees and costs,

liquidated and other damages, and all other appropriate legal and equitable relief, pursuant to the NYLL §§ 198, 663.

### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

3. Jurisdiction of the Court over Plaintiff's FLSA claims is invoked pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331.

4. Jurisdiction of this Court over Plaintiff's NYLL claims is invoked pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a) in that the NYLL claims are so related to Plaintiff's FLSA claims as to form the same case or controversy under Article III of the United States Constitution.

5. Venue is proper within this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims occurred within this District. Venue is further proper within this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because Defendant may be found in this District.

### **PARTIES**

6. Plaintiff EDDIE ACEVEDO ("Plaintiff") resides in the State of New York. At all relevant times, Plaintiff was employed by Defendant as an Hourly Assistant Manager, as described herein, from in or about the year 2014 until in or around October 2016.

7. Plaintiff's written consent to sue is attached hereto as Exhibit "A"

8. Defendant CKA MANAGEMENT, LLC. ("Defendant") is a corporation licensed to do business in the State of New York, with its principal office and place of business at 221 West Grand Avenue, Suite 200, Montvale, New Jersey 07645.

9. Defendant grossed more than \$500,000.00 in each of the last six calendar years.

10. At all relevant times, Defendant has been, and continues to be an “employer” engaged in interstate “commerce” and/or in the “production of goods” for “commerce”, within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 203 and the NYLL. At all relevant times, Defendant has employed “employee[s]”, including Plaintiff, each of the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs and the Class Members.

### **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

11. The Defendant is in the fast food franchise business, owning several Taco Bell and Wendy's franchises within New York and New Jersey.

12. Defendant owns and operates a Taco Bell restaurant, located at 1314 Middle County Road, Selden, New York 11784 (“Selden Taco Bell”).

13. Defendant employed Plaintiff to work at their Seldon Taco Bell location for between 2 to 4 months until his termination in or around October 2016.

14. Plaintiff's allegations only apply to Defendant's Seldon Taco Bell.

15. At all times relevant hereto, Defendant employed Plaintiff, the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs and the Class Members as non-exempt employees, including, but not limited to, non-exempt Hourly Assistant Managers, at the Seldon Taco Bell location.

16. Defendant generally scheduled Plaintiff to work 5 days per week, 10 hours per day. However, Plaintiff worked 11 hours per day, 5 days per work week.

17. Defendant only paid Plaintiff for his scheduled hours of work.

18. Defendant only paid Plaintiff the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs, and the Class Members for their scheduled hours of work.

19. Plaintiff, the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs, and the Class Members regularly worked beyond their scheduled shifts.

20. Defendant did not pay Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs an overtime premium for time spent working in excess of their scheduled shift as required by the FLSA.

21. Defendant and Plaintiff agreed that Plaintiff would receive a \$15 regular hourly rate of pay for hours worked up to 40 hours per work week. However, Defendant paid Plaintiff \$13 an hour and \$19.50 for some, but not all, hours worked in excess of 40 per week, which is below the overtime rate of one and one-half times the regular hourly rate as required by law for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week.

22. Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs the required overtime premiums of one and one half times their regular hourly rates of pay for all of the hours they worked in excess of 40 hours per week.

23. Upon information and belief, Defendant violated NYLL § 195(3) by failing to furnish Plaintiff and the Class Members with a statement with every payment of wages, listing, among other things, hours worked, rates paid, gross wages, deductions and net wages, and an explanation of how such wages were computed.

24. Defendant failed to provide Plaintiff and the Class Members with the notices required by NYLL §195(1).

25. Defendant knew of, and/or showed reckless disregard for, the practices by which Plaintiff and other similarly situated employees of Defendant were not paid overtime premiums for all hours worked in excess of 40 hours in a week. Defendant

knew that the nonpayment of overtime premiums would economically injure Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs and that they violated the FLSA and the NYLL.

26. Defendant committed the foregoing acts knowingly, intentionally and willfully against the Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs.

### **COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

27. Plaintiff brings the First Claim for Relief as a collective action pursuant to the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), on behalf of all persons employed by Defendant at any time as non-exempt employees, including, but not limited to, non-exempt Hourly Assistant Managers, at the Selden Taco Bell, during the three years prior to the filing of the original Complaint in this case as defined herein. All said persons, including Plaintiff, are referred to herein as the “FLSA Collective Plaintiffs”.

28. At all relevant times, Plaintiff and the other FLSA Collective Plaintiffs are and have been similarly situated, have had substantially similar job requirements, job duties and pay provisions, and are and have been subject to Defendant’s decision, policy, plan, practice, procedure, routine and rules to willfully fail and refuse to pay them the legally required overtime premium for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per workweek. The claims of the Plaintiff herein are essentially the same as those of the other FLSA Collective Plaintiffs.

29. Other non-exempt employees, including, but not limited to, non-exempt Hourly Assistant Managers, at the Selden Taco Bell should have the opportunity to have their claims for violations of the FLSA heard. Certifying this action as a collective action under the FLSA will provide other non-exempt employees, including but not limited to,

non-exempt Hourly Assistant Managers, at the Selden Taco Bell to receive notice of the action and allow them to opt in to such an action if they so choose.

30. The First Claim for Relief is properly brought under and maintained as an opt-in collective action pursuant to §216(b) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. 216(b). The FLSA Collective Plaintiffs are readily ascertainable. For purpose of notice and other purposes related to this action, their names and addresses are readily available from Defendant. Notice can be provided to the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs via first class mail to the last addresses known to Defendant.

### **RULE 23 CLASS ALLEGATIONS – NEW YORK**

31. Plaintiff brings the Third Claims for Relief pursuant to the Fed. R. Civ. P. (“FRCP”) Rule 23, to recover statutory and other damages on behalf of all individuals employed in the State of New York by Defendant as non-exempt employees, including, but not limited to, non-exempt Hourly Assistant Managers, at the Selden Taco Bell during the six years prior to the filing of the original Complaint in this case as defined herein (the “Class Period”). All said persons, including Plaintiff, are referred to herein as the “Class Members” and/or the “Class”.

32. The number, names and addresses of the Class Members are readily ascertainable from the records of the Defendant. The dates of employment and the rates of pay for each Class Member, the hours assigned and worked, and the wages paid to them, are also determinable from Defendant’s records. Notice can be provided by means permissible under FRCP Rule 23.

33. The proposed Class is so numerous that joinder of all Class Members is impracticable, and the disposition of their claims as a Class will benefit the parties and

the Court. While the precise number of such persons is unknown to Plaintiff and is presently within the sole control of the Defendant, Plaintiff believes that through discovery he will obtain evidence to establish that there are at least forty members of the Class.

34. Plaintiff's claims are typical of those claims of the Class Members, and the relief sought is typical of the relief which would be sought by each Class Member in separate actions. All the Class Members were subject to the same corporate practices of Defendant, in that Defendant failed to provide Class Members with proper notices and/or wage statements as required by NYLL §195. Defendant's corporate-wide policies and practices affected all Class Members similarly, and Defendant benefited from the same type of unfair and/or wrongful acts as to each Class Member.

35. Plaintiff is able to fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Class and have no interests antagonistic to the Class. Plaintiff has retained Gennadiy Naydenskiy, Esq., a competent and experienced employment litigator.

36. A class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy – particularly in the context of wage and hour litigation where individual class members lack the financial resources to vigorously prosecute a lawsuit against corporate defendants. Class action treatment will permit a large number of similarly situated persons to prosecute their common claims in a single forum simultaneously, efficiently, and without the unnecessary duplication of efforts and expense that numerous individual actions engender. Because the losses, injuries and damages suffered by each of the individual Class Members are relatively small in the sense pertinent to a class action analysis, the expenses and burden of individual litigation

would make it extremely difficult or impossible for the individual Class Members to redress the wrongs done to them. On the other hand, important public interests will be served by addressing the matter as a class action. The adjudication of individual litigation claims would result in a great expenditure of Court and public resources; however, treating the claims as a class action would result in a significant saving of these costs. The prosecution of separate actions by individual members of the Class would create a risk of inconsistent and/or varying adjudications with respect to the individual members of the Class, establishing incompatible standards of conduct for Defendant and resulting in the impairment of Class Members' rights and the disposition of their interests through actions to which they were not parties. The issues in this action can be decided by means of common, class-wide proof. In addition, if appropriate, the Court can, and is empowered to, fashion methods to efficiently manage this action as a class action.

37. Upon information and belief, employees of Defendants are often afraid to individually assert their rights out of fear of direct or indirect retaliation and former employees are fearful of bringing individual claims because the fear that doing so could harm their employment, future employment, and future efforts to secure employment. A class action provides Class Members who are not named in the Complaint a degree of anonymity which allows for the vindication of their rights while eliminating or reducing these risks.

38. The questions of law and fact common to the Class predominate over any questions affecting only individual Class Members, including: (a) whether Defendant provided Class Members with the notices required by NYLL § 195(1) and (b) whether



Defendant provided Class Members with sufficiently detailed wage statements as required by NYLL § 195(3).

39. Absent a class action, many of the Class Members likely will not obtain redress of their injuries and Defendant will retain the proceeds of their violations of the NYLL.

**FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF**  
**(Failure to Pay Overtime Wages – FLSA, Brought by Plaintiff on Behalf of Himself and the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs)**

40. Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs, realleges and incorporates by reference all previous paragraphs as if they were set forth again herein.

41. Throughout the statute of limitations period covered by these claims, Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs regularly worked in excess of forty (40) hours per workweek and continue to do so.

42. At all relevant times, Defendant willfully, regularly, repeatedly and knowingly failed to pay Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs the required overtime rates for hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per workweek.

43. Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs, seek damages in the amount of their respective unpaid overtime compensation, liquidated (double) damages as provided by the FLSA for overtime violations, attorneys' fees and costs, and such other legal and equitable relief as this Court deems just and proper.

44. Because Defendant's violations of the FLSA have been willful, the three-year statute of limitations pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 255 should be equitably tolled for, at the very least, the six-year NYLL statute of limitations period.

**SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

**(Failure to Pay Regular Wages and Overtime Wages – NYLL, Brought by Plaintiff on Behalf of Himself)**

45. Plaintiff, on behalf of himself realleges and incorporates by reference all previous paragraphs as if they were set forth again herein.

46. It is unlawful under New York law for an employer to suffer or permit a non-exempt employee to work without wages for all hours worked or overtime premiums for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours in any workweek.

47. Defendant willfully, regularly, repeatedly and knowingly failed to pay Plaintiff wages for all hours worked and/or at the required overtime rates for hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per workweek.

48. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's unlawful conduct, as set forth herein, Plaintiff has sustained damages, including loss of earnings, in an amount to be established at trial.

49. Plaintiff seeks damages in the amount of his respective unpaid overtime compensation, liquidated damages, prejudgment interest, attorneys' fees and costs, pursuant to NYLL, and such other legal and equitable relief as this Court deems just and proper.

**THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

**(Notice Violations & Wage Statement Violations – NYLL §195, Brought by Plaintiff on Behalf of Himself and the Class Members)**

50. Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and the Class Members, realleges and incorporates by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs as if they were set forth again herein.

51. Defendant has failed to supply Plaintiff and the Class Members with notice as required by NYLL § 195, in English or in the languages identified by Plaintiff and the Class Members as his/her primary language, containing Plaintiff's rate or rates of pay and basis thereof, whether paid by the hour, shift, day, week, salary, piece, commission, or other; hourly rate or rates of pay and overtime rate or rates of pay if applicable; allowances, if any, claimed as part of the minimum wage, including tip, meal, or lodging allowances; the regular pay day designated by the employer in accordance with NYLL § 191; the name of the employer; any "doing business as" names used by the employer; the physical address of the employer's main office or principal place of business, and a mailing address if different; the telephone number of the employer; plus such other information as the commissioner deems material and necessary.

52. Defendant has willfully failed to supply each Plaintiff and the Class Members with an accurate statement of wages as required by NYLL § 195, containing the dates of work covered by that payment of wages; name of employee; name of employer; address and phone number of employer; rate or rates of pay and basis thereof, whether paid by the hour, shift, day, week, salary, piece, commission, or other; gross wages; hourly rate or rates of pay and overtime rate or rates of pay if applicable; the number of hours worked, including overtime hours worked if applicable; deductions; allowances, if any, claimed as part of the minimum wage; and net wages.

53. Due to Defendant's violations of the NYLL, Plaintiff and the Class Members are entitled to recover damages/ statutory penalties from Defendant as provided for by NYLL § 198, as well as reasonable attorneys' fees, costs, injunctive and declaratory relief.

**FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION**  
**BREACH OF CONTRACT**

54. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference all previous paragraphs as if they were set forth again herein.

55. There was an agreement in place regarding Plaintiff's hourly rate of pay.

56. Defendants agreed to pay Plaintiff \$15 an hour.

57. Defendants did not pay Plaintiff \$15 an hour.

58. Defendants have breached their contract with Plaintiff regarding wages and are liable for damages.

**PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

**WHEREFORE**, Plaintiff, on behalf of himself, the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs, and the Class Members, pray for relief as follows:


- (a) Designation of this action as a collective action on behalf of the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs and prompt issuance of notice pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) to all similarly situated members of the FLSA opt-in class, apprising them of the pendency of this action, and permitting them to assert timely FLSA claims in this action by filing individual Consent to Sue forms pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b);
- (b) Certification of this action as a class action;
- (c) Designation of the Named Plaintiff as Representative of the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs and Class Representative of the Class;
- (d) An award of damages, according to proof, including FLSA and NYLL liquidated damages, and interest, to be paid by Defendant;

- (e) Costs of action incurred herein, including expert fees;
- (f) Attorneys' fees, including fees pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216, N.Y. Lab. L. §§ 663, 198 and other applicable statutes;
- (g) Pre-Judgment and post-judgment interest, as provided by law; and
- (h) Such other and further legal and equitable relief as this Court deems necessary, just and proper.

Dated: December 27, 2016

Respectfully submitted,

NAYDENSKIY LAW GROUP, P.C.

  
Gennadiy Naydenskiy (GN5601)

1517 Voorhies Ave, 2<sup>nd</sup> Fl.

Brooklyn, NY 11235

(718) 808-2224

naydenskiylaw@gmail.com

*Attorney for Plaintiff, Proposed Collective  
Action Plaintiffs and Proposed Class  
Members*

# EXHIBIT A

I am a current or former employee of CKA MANAGEMENT LLC, and/or related entities/individuals. I hereby consent and agree to be a party Plaintiff in this Action to seek redress for violations of the Fair Labor Standards Act, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. 216(b).

I hereby designate Naydenskiy Law Group, P.C. to represent me in this Action and I also consent and agree, if such is necessary, to file this claim on behalf of all others similarly situated.

Signed this 2 day of Dec, 2016.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature

Eddie Acevedo  
Full Legal Name (print)